## 2017

ENSO.CO/WORLDVALUE #WORLDVALUE

## World Value<sup>sm</sup> Index How people perceive brands' purpose

# Ranked by **Shareholders** People



## Highlights

#### **Cultural Insights**

Nearly 80% of people believe in business' ability to make a positive impact, but only 41% trust business leaders to do what's right.

So who does trust business leaders? People who earn more than \$100k and have at least a college education, and those who identify as Republicans.

Democrats and people who earn less than \$50K are sour on the overall direction of the country, but they are optimistic about their own families' economic prospects.

Meanwhile, Millennials are embracing activism. An impressive 4 out of 10 have taken a concrete action IRL, like marching in a protest, within the last year.

But activism is somewhat of a luxury; those who actively support causes with the goal of creating change in the world tend to have higher incomes and education levels.

Speaking of change, people who believe that experiencing other cultures is important are much more likely to feel they have the ability to affect the world around them than those who do not.

#### Index Spotlight

Starbucks is the most politically polarizing brand. NPR is second.

Everyone ranks Marlboro at or near the bottom of the list except for Baby Boomers, tech skeptics, and those who don't find experiencing other cultures important.

Procter & Gamble seems to have a Millennial problem.

Brands skewing towards high earners with a college degree: Patagonia, Khan Academy, Chobani, Starbucks.

Brands skewing towards those earning under \$50K: Barbie, Yahoo, NBC, Always.

## Table of Contents

6	Why We Do This Report			
7	What's New in World Value <sup>™</sup> Index 2017			
8	Methodology			
13	Audience Segmentation			
14	Report Summary			
15	About enso			
16	20	17 World Value <sup>™</sup> Index		
	17	Cultural Context		
	18	Overall Rankings		
	19	Open-Ended Responses for Top 10		
30	Dir	rect Segment Comparisons		
	31	The Partisan Gap		
	37	The Age Gap		
	42	The Income Gap		
	50	The Cultural Gap		
57	Big	gest Movers for Each Segment	96	
	<b>58</b>	Female		
	60	Male		
	62	Millennials	99	
	64	Gen X		

- 66 Boomers
- 68 Moms
- 70 Dads
- 72 Elites
- 74 Low income
- 76 Democrats
- 78 Republicans
- 80 Independents
- 82 Active Participants
- 84 Future Concerned
- 86 Multiculturalists
- 88 Traditionalists
- 90 Social & Purpose
- 92 Young & Social
- 94 Environmental Advocates
- 2017 Purpose Motivation Index
- 97 Overall Rankings
- 98 Index Comparison

#### Thank You

# There is no truth. Only perception.

## Gustave Flaubert (1821–1880)

## Why We Do This Report

Brands today are measured in myriad metrics. From entrenched measures like financial performance, shareholder value, and awareness to emerging indexes like simplicity, meaning, and trust. Meanwhile, in the impact space, new standards are being developed to measure how businesses are solving social, environmental, and economic problems. While that's generally a good thing, they're missing a key factor-people. They don't measure the perceived value that the public places on brands' purpose.

So in 2016, our team at enso developed the World Value<sup>sm</sup> Index to measure how people rank companies and nonprofits on their perceived mission or purpose-namely the extent to which brands stand for something other than making money, whether they align with what people care about, and if these brands are worth publicly supporting. A year later, after a period of unprecedented social and cultural upheaval, when trust in both public and private institutions has hit an all-time low, we are publishing the second wave of our results. We hope that you will find them as thought-provoking as we have.

If you have any questions, or are interested in a deeper dive into a brand or segment, please contact us: WorldValue@enso.co

Thanks for reading, enso

## What's New in World Value Index 2017


With a year to reflect on the results of our inaugural study, we have made a few minor improvements to our methodology. To begin, we amended the survey questions to include the word "mission" in addition to "purpose" for clarity. Next, to gain a deeper understanding of how consumers internalize each brand's value, we added the openended question: "Describe in your own words the purpose or mission as you understand it for each of the following brands."

We also expanded our audience segments this year to include: Male, Female, Dads, Multiculturalists, Traditionalists, Future Concerned, Low Income, and Active Participants. These additional segments provide deeper intelligence into the relationships that different demographic and psychographic audiences have with brands.


Finally, we included a series of zeitgeist questions to surface insights into people's attitudes and beliefs to provide cultural context to the World Value<sup>sm</sup> Index.

## **Methodology** Dimensions

We look at four dimensions to make up this metric.



## Methodology Research



\* Research was conducted between February 14th and February 28th.

\* Please note that the margins of error by wave for each sub-audience and individual brand will be higher than the total audience.

#### **Research Partner**

Quadrant Strategies (www.quadrantstrategies.com) is a research-driven consultancy that has worked with more than 25 of the Fortune 50 companies, as well as a range of other companies, political leaders around the world, and major NGOs. Their specialty is helping companies facing significant challenges to their reputation or brand, or even full-blown crises. They help them pivot from defense to offense – to a place where they can move the brand and business forward. They do this using carefully crafted research to create the strategy and tactics for dealing with the short-term challenges and then determining what the company's story should be for the long-term.

Quadrant Strategies was founded on a clear principle: clients need strategic counsel, not just data. Their partners have been advisors for some of the highest profile corporate and public affairs issues of the last two decades, as well as for presidents and prime ministers around the world. For more information, please visit www.quadrantstrategies.com.

## **Methodology** Questions

Each brand was ranked by an index score calculated using four key questions that participants answered for each brand:

### 衾 **Awareness of Purpose**

For each of the following, please indicate how aware you are, or not, of its purpose or mission (beyond just making money).

## ..... **Alignment** with Purpose

For each of the following, please indicate the extent to which its purpose or mission is in line with what you yourself care about.

## **Active Support**

For each of the following, please indicate how likely you would be to openly support an effort to advance its core purpose or mission.

**}}** 

## Impact on Purchase

For each of the following, please indicate whether its purpose or mission, as you understand it, makes you more or less likely to buy its products or services if given the opportunity to.

#### Pre-survey description given to participants

Many companies and brands today seem to have a purpose or mission beyond just making money - for example, improving people's lives or changing the world. This can be achieved simply through the products and services they sell, or through other measures, such as sustainable manufacturing practices, social action campaigns and similar initiatives designed to improve the world.



## Awareness of Purpose

(

Alignment with Purpose

+

+ Impact ) on Purpose

## ★ World Value Index Equation

## Active Support

X

## **Methodology** Open-Ended question

Additionally, in order to better understand the perceived purpose or mission of each brand, we collected responses for each brand for the following question

## Please describe in your own words the purpose or mission as you understand it for each of the following brands.

## Audience Segmentation

In addition to rating brands, participants were asked a series of profiling questions ranging from demographics to behavioral and attitudinal characteristics. Using these questions, we identified sub-audiences that represent key consumer groups to better understand these consumers' views of the brands tested.

#### Demographics

Sub-audiences	Defining Characteristics	Sub-audiences	Defining Char
Female		Democrats	Strong or lean Den
Male		Republicans	Strong or lean Rep
Millennials	Ages 18-34	Independents	ldentify as an Inde
Gen X	Ages 35-54	Active Participants	Those who have re an issue that is im
Boomers	Ages 55+	Future Concerned	Concerned with th
Moms	Women with children under 18 living at home	Multiculturalists	Those who believe
Dads	Men with children under 18 living at home	Traditionalists	Those who believe is NOT important
Elites	At least college educated, earn \$100K+ in personal annual income	Social & Purpose	Those who regular important to live li
Low Income	Earn less than \$50K in personal annual income	Young & Social	Millennials who req
		Environmental	Work hard to take s

#### aracteristics

emocrat

**Psychographics** 

Advocates

epublican

dependent

recently taken action online or in person on important to them

the outlook of the future

ve experiencing other cultures is important

ve experiencing other cultures t

arly post on social media and think it is e life with a sense of purpose

regularly post on social media

Work hard to take steps to protect the environment

## **Report Summary**

The research we conducted in 2016 was designed to understand how people valued brands. This year we set out to further our understanding by gathering more extensive data. This included expanding our audience segments, allowing people to share their own interpretations of brands' missions, and attitudinal and behavioral questions that speak to the cultural zeitgeist. Given everything happening in the world and in culture, we are excited to share the results of the 2017 World Value<sup>sm</sup> Index report with you.

It's worth reiterating what this index shows: how people perceive a brand's purpose, how closely it aligns with their own values and motivations to purchase, and importantly, whether they would be willing to publicly support the brand's purpose. In other words, it can be thought of as a measure of how well a brand resonates with what people care about. What it is not: a measure of what "good" the brand is actually doing through its supply chain, employee relations, customer benefit, environmental impact, etc.

#### World Value<sup>sm</sup> Index Findings

At the top of the list, similar to last year's rankings, was an interesting mixture of nonprofits (Goodwill and Girl Scouts of America), brands traditionally associated with purpose (Dove), and brands that provide everyday utility (Amazon and Google). Our newly added open-ended questions on mission helped identify the perception of each brand's purpose and explain why each brand ranked where it did. Some of these brands, like Amazon and Subway, that may not be perceived as typically "mission-driven", ranked highly because they provide people with support and necessities in their everyday life. Much like last year, the bottom of the list is comprised of brands in industries such as tobacco, alcohol and banking, and some of the newer tech brands–Snapchat, Uber and Airbnb–still have some work to do. Additionally this year, we compare segments against each other, to help identify which brands are the most polarizing among contrasting segments. For example, Starbucks is the brand with the biggest partisan divide– Democrats rank it 86 spots higher than Republicans. Boomers value the older giants–P&G and HP–while Millennials place higher value on tech brands like Twitter and Kickstarter. Walmart moved up over 100 spots for lower-income people when contrasted with higher-income and more highly educated people, and Multiculturalists (which we define as those who value experiencing other cultures) see a lot more value in NPR than those who belong to the Traditionalist segment (those who identify as uninterested in experiencing other cultures).

The brands at the top of the list have demonstrated their ability to create value and live up to their purpose, at least in the eyes of everyday Americans, while others' positions have fluctuated in the last year and could do more to improve their ranking. According to our research, 79% of people believe that business can be a positive form of political and social change. So one thing is clear, people increasingly expect that brands can create change and it's something brands can no longer ignore.

## About enso


Enso is a mission-driven creative company. We build mission-driven brands and Shared Mission<sup>sm</sup> initiatives that drive social impact at scale. We were founded five years ago with the belief that the future of marketing is people and brands with shared values working together to drive business success with positive impact. Today, we are leading the paradigm shift from the campaign mindset, traditionally developed by marketers around a brand's singular mission, to Shared Mission<sup>sm</sup> initiatives, where the ultimate goal is not the success of one organization, but rather the achievement of a mission that serves all stakeholders. Based in Los Angeles, our client partners include Google, Khan Academy, Atlantis, OfferUp, Omidyar Network, Everytable, and The Nature Conservancy. Through our network of Shared Mission<sup>sm</sup> collaborators, enso has built a new framework for collective action and cross-sector solutions to the urgent problems of our time. Recent missions have included rebuilding trust in neighborhoods, creating abundant internet access for everyone, establishing the basic right to literacy, generating wealth by solving the climate crisis, and transforming a mega resort by fostering a flourishing culture and ecology in which the entire country operates.

If you have any questions or are interested in a deeper dive into a brand or segment, please contact us:

WorldValue@enso.co

## ★ 2017 World Value<sup>™</sup> Index

## **TOP 150 BRANDS**




## **Cultural Context**

It's been a year of unexpected firsts. Starting with Brexit. Then President Trump, and the resistance movement surrounding his presidency and administration. Old tensions have surfaced. Notably between globalism and nationalism. And not just along the wedge issues that defined the recent election, like trade, immigration, and foreign policy, but also along socio-economic divides: rural and urban, privilege and poverty, secular and religious. The result? An erosion of social capital and trust in our institutions.

It's clear that a vast majority of people (89%) see living life with a sense of purpose as important, and see business as having the potential to play a role in that purpose (79%), but shockingly most people-59%-do not currently trust business leaders to do what's right. The importance of this gap cannot be overstated: businesses that have lost people's trust will quickly lose relevance.



I trust business leaders to do what is right



of purpose is important to me

## **TOP 150** Brands

- Goodwill 01
- 02 Girl Scouts of America
- 03 Amazon
- 04 Save The Children
- 05 Google
- World Wildlife Fund 06
- 07 YMCA
- Microsoft 08
- Dove 09
- Subway 10

40 NIKE 11 Home Depot 12 Kellogg's 41 eBay 13 Walmart 42 Ben & Jerry's 14 Colgate 43 Intel 15 Kraft 44 Panera 16 Johnson & Johnson 45 Pepsi 17 Lowe's **18 UPS** 47 Trader Joe's 19 PayPal 48 Ford 20 FedEx **49** Petfinder 21 McDonald's 50 TOMS **51 LEGO** 22 Newman's Own 52 Chevrolet 23 Disney 24 Samsung 53 Levi Strauss 25 Nestlé 54 Toyota 26 Wikipedia 55 State Farm 56 Doritos 27 CVS Pharmacy 28 Lipton 57 MasterCard 29 Proctor & Gamble 58 Yahoo 30 Sony 59 SunChips **31** General Electric 60 Honda 61 Kroger 32 LAY'S 33 Coca-Cola 62 Canon 34 Whole Foods **63 NBC** 35 Target 36 Apple **37 AAA** 66 Chobani 38 Yoplait

39 Facebook

68 Fitbit

- 69 IBM 70 AT&T 71 Adidas 72 Etsy 73 Verizon 74 KIND 46 Hewlett-Packard 75 Starbucks 76 IKEA 77 Allstate 78 Clif Bar 79 The North Face 80 L'Oréal 81 Pampers 82 Always 83 Kickstarter 85 ESPN 86 Khan Academy 87 Unilever 88 Pandora 89 Tesla 90 United Airlines 91 Delta Air Lines 92 T-Mobile 93 Pfizer 64 Honest Company 65 Seventh Generation 94 ExxonMobil 95 Chase Bank 67 Annie's Homegrown 96 NPR 97 Method
  - 99 Virgin 101 Barbie 102 Boeina 107 Twitter 108 (RED) 109 H&M 111 REI 84 Southwest Airlines 113 Cisco 116 Axe 117 Uber 119 Geico **120** Citi 121 Lyft 122 Spotify **123 BMW** 124 Comcast 125 Oracle

- 127 Medium 98 Chipotle 128 Zappos 129 Venmo **100** American Express 130 Audi 131 One Campaign 103 Time Warner 132 Airbnb **104** American Airlines 133 Volkswagen 105 Chevron **134 VICE** 106 SolarCity **135** Thomson Reuters 136 Morgan Stanley 137 Atlantis Paradise Island 138 Salesforce.com 110 Bank of America **139** Lululemon 140 Eileen Fisher 112 LinkedIn 141 Budweiser 142 Uniglo 114 Caterpillar 143 Coors 115 Ralph Lauren 144 Snapchat 145 Wells Fargo 146 Heineken 118 Patagonia 147 Monsanto 148 Red Bull 149 Goldman Sachs 150 Marlboro
- 126 OfferUp

## People's Perception of the Top 10 Brands' Purpose In Their Own Words

\*This section features some of the most commonly used words respondents used to describe the brands' purpose.





## JOBS NEED

## **Girl Scouts of America**



## **PRODUCTS**£ GOOD EVERYTHING & **ONLINE** SELL



## Save The Children

# CHIER DRING

## CHARITY







# WORLD

## World Wildlife Fund

# ENDANGERED SAVE SPECIES ANIMALS










p a g e 28



## ★ Direct Segment Comparisons

This section of the report compares contrasting segments to identify the most polarizing perception of certain brands.



## The Partisan Gap


Democrats vs Republicans

## **Deep Ideological Divides** Play Out in Brand Preference

This past year has been perhaps one of the most politically divisive in history. An unexpected victory in the presidential election and inflamed tensions between both parties and those who don't associate with one. Increasing levels of participation in marches and protests, and the ascent of social media echo chambers.

In an age when presidential policies and complex social conversations are aired in 140 characters or less, and when narratives morph in real-time to keep up with changing public opinion, it's no surprise that brands, such as Starbucks and ExxonMobil, are getting caught up in the deep division of values and visions of the future. And these brands, as vessels of their values, can fall divisively on one side of the political spectrum or the other.






# Things in this country are heading in the right direction

General Population 42%




## The Partisan Gap

## Zeitgeist



## I trust business leaders to do what is right

General Population 41%




### The Partisan Gap

## Zeitgeist




Zeitgeist



## I am hopeful for my and my family's economic prospects for the next five years.

General Population 74%





Zeitgeist

# Experiencing other cultures is important to me

General Population 70%

e g e 35



50% Republicans

## Most Polarizing Brands

Democrats		VS.	Republica
Starbucks	+85		ExxonMobil
NPR	+68		Boeing
NBC	+67		Pfizer
Ben & Jerry's	+66		Unilever
Target	+51		AT&T

#### ns

+61
+52
+47
+47
+46

### The Age Gap

### Millenials vs Boomers

### Staying Relevant Through The Ages

Ideological differences between younger and older generations are nothing new. But the widening divide between those hyper-diverse and educated Millennials, who are reshaping the workforce and starting their own families, in contrast with their more socially and fiscally conservative Boomer parents, who are heading into retirement with entrenched beliefs and behaviors, are clearly reflected in their perceptions of brands. Boomers continue to pass their leadership roles onto members of Gen-X, a relatively small generation described as pragmatic and independent, who have considerable spending power and influence over their kids, and are passing their values along to the upcoming Generation Z. With each age group becoming more distinct, brands will have to work to have a relevant mission to those only years apart. And while younger consumers continue to align with purposeful challengers like TOMS and Kickstarter, older audiences still value the enduring giants like Procter & Gamble and AAA.






### I seek to buy American when I can

General Population 78%








## Creating change in the world is a personal goal of mine that I actively pursue

General Population 54%





I have recently taken concrete action (protested in a march, volunteered on a campaign, canvassed a neighborhood for signatures) on an issue that is important to me

General Population 28%



#### The Age Gap

### Most Polarizing Brands

Millenials		VS.	Boomers	
Twitter	+86		Proctor & Gamble	+91
Starbucks	+86		Hewlett-Packard	+81
Spotify	+84		Newman's Own	+74
Kickstarter	+78		Pfizer	+71
Always	+70		AAA	+66

### The Income Gap

### Elites vs Low Income

### Income levels can influence the definition of purpose

The American Dream looks very different today than it has in generations past. For one thing, the middle class, not long ago considered the economic majority and a national symbol for opportunity, is shrinking, quickly. At the same time, the rich are getting richer, faster. The vast majority of Americans facing rising costs of housing, college, and healthcare, are struggling to find stability, let alone upward mobility.


The result of this growing inequality? We're more economically divided than ever before. So it's not surprising that many brands, from the broad and accessible like Walmart and McDonald's to the aspirational and niche like American Express and Patagonia, are becoming more polarizing to consumers on both ends of the income spectrum.





### I trust business leaders to do what is right

General Population 41%



#### The Income Gap



# I feel able to affect the world around me

General Population 57%




#### The Income Gap



# Things in this country are heading in the right direction

General Population 42%




#### The Income Gap






## Creating change in the world is a personal goal of mine that I actively pursue

General Population 54%





## I actively support (with money or time) nonprofits or causes working on issues I care about










I have recently taken concrete action (protested in a march, volunteered on a campaign, canvassed a neighborhood for signatures) on an issue that is important to me

General Population 28%



#### The Income Gap

### Most Polarizing Brands

Low Income		VS.	Elites	
Walmart	+106		Patagonia	+78
LAY'S	+100		Khan Academy	+65
eBay	+76		American Express	+56
McDonald's	+74		Trader Joe's	+56
Coca-Cola	+67		Starbucks	+55

### **The Cultural Gap**

**Democrats vs Republicans Millenials vs Boomers Multiculturalists vs Traditionalists** 

### Straddling cultural and geographic boundaries

Across the world competing forces of globalism and nationalism have clashed. Slogans like Make America Great Again and Choose France have adorned presidential debate stages and social media streams. Brexit and Trump both won on a message of nationalism, while the people of Netherlands and France, though confronting it in their highest elections, voted to reject the rising wave of nationalism and reaffirm their commitment to a better, collective world, together.

At the core of this constantly expanding and contracting world is a shifting sense of identity, and what it means to be both a citizen of a country and a citizen of the world. Among those who seek to

experience other cultures, NPR and Uber resonate deeper, while McDonald's and Marlboro are valued more by those who are comfortable prioritizing their own culture. Brands that hedge between these values may avoid alienating consumers who are motivated by fear and isolationism, but as the cultural conversation becomes more volatile, staying silent could create problems of its own.





## **Experiencing other cultures is** important to me

**General Population 70%** 




51






### **Experiencing other cultures is** important to me

**General Population 70%** 









## Creating change in the world is a personal goal of mine that I actively pursue


**General Population 54%** 



р

# **78%** Multiculturalists







## I work hard in my own life to take steps to protect the environment

**General Population 73%** 







### I feel able to affect the world around me

General Population 57%



### Most Polarizing Brands

Multiculturalists		VS.	Traditiona	
NPR	+78		McDonald's	
Starbucks	+76		Unilever	
Uber	+69		Marlboro	
Trader Joe's	+65		Chevrolet	
Ben & Jerry's	+53		Doritos	

#### alists


+69	
+65	
+62	
+62	
+56	

### **X** Biggest Movers for Each Segment

This section of the report shows the biggest movers for each segment, both in the positive and negative directions, compared to the General Population rankings.




#### Female 7




+42


#### Female 🛛



p a g e 59








#### -59

#### Millenials 🔻




p a g e 62









Gen X 🛪



+33












#### Boomers 🛛




p a g e 67











-57

#### Dads ↗







p a g e

71






#### Elites 🛛




р а g е 73


#### Low Income 7




#### Low Income >



#### Democrats 🛪




#### レクロン Democrats ン







#### Republicans 🔻




#### Republicans 🛛




#### Independents 7




#### Independents 🏼



#### Active Participants >





#### +76




Active Participants >

#### Future Concerned ↗







#### Future Concerned ↘



#### Multiculturalists 🔊






-26 -27 -29

-36 -37

Multiculturalists 🏼




#### Traditionalists 🔻




+62

#### Traditionalists 🛛












p a g e


91




#### Young & Social 🛪








#### Environmental Advocates 🛪



#### +43



Environmental Advocates 🛛

p a g e 95 -50

## ★ Purpose Motivation Index

#### Equation



For each of the following, please indicate how likely you would be to openly support an effort to advance its core purpose or mission <u>.</u>



For each of the following, please indicate how aware you are, or not, of its purpose or mission (beyond just making money)

The Purpose Motivation Index measures how many, of the people who are aware of a brand's purpose, are willing to publicly support it.



## Purpose **Motivation** Index

- Khan Academy 01
- 02 One Campaign
- **03** Annie's Homegrown
- 04 Medium
- **05** SolarCity
- **06** OfferUp
- Petfinder 07
- **08** Method
- 09 Save The Children
- World Wildlife Fund 10

11 KIND	39	Google
12 Eileen Fisher	40	Procto
13 Seventh Generation	41	Colgat
14 (RED)	42	Micros
15 Honest Company	43	Kraft
16 Venmo	44	UPS
17 TOMS	45	Lowe's
18 Goodwill	46	Whole
19 Salesforce.com	47	The No
20 Unilever	48	Lipton
21 Amazon	49	Hewlet
22 Girl Scouts of	50	Samsu
America	51	SunCh
23 Newman's Own	52	Yoplait
24 YMCA	53	Intel
25 Home Depot	54	Nestlé
26 Clif Bar	55	FedEx
27 Dove	56	Genera
28 VICE	57	LAY'S
29 Uniqlo	58	Trader
30 Always	59	REI
31 Patagonia	60	Johnso
32 Etsy	61	Canon
33 Kellogg's	62	Sony
34 Subway	63	Levi St
35 Thomson Reuters	64	Walma
36 Kickstarter	65	Kroger
37 Chobani		CVS Ph
38 PayPal	67	Wikipe

68 AAA е or & Gamble te soft S Foods orth Face tt-Packard ung nips t ral Electric Joe's on & Johnson 89 NIKE trauss art harmacy

6/ Wikipedia

- 69 Panera **70** LEGO
- 71 eBay
- 72 Ben & Jerry's
- 73 Disney
- 74 Fitbit
- 75 H&M
- 76 McDonald's
- 77 Target
- 78 Pepsi
- 79 Apple
- 80 Coca-Cola
- 81 Doritos
- 82 Toyota
- 83 Honda
- 84 State Farm
- 85 Chevrolet
- 86 MasterCard
- 87 Ford
- 88 IBM
- - 90 Atlantis Paradise Island
  - 91 NBC
  - 92 Facebook
  - 93 Yahoo 94 Tesla
  - 95 Adidas

**96** IKEA

- **97** NPR 98 Pand
  - 99 Pfize
  - 100 Virgi
  - 101 Veriz 102 Cate
  - 103 L'Oré
  - 104 Orac
  - 105 AT&T
  - 106 Lulul
  - 107 Boeir
  - 108 Sout
  - 109 Cisco
  - 110 Unite
  - 111 Allsta
  - 112 Pamp
  - **113 ESPN** 114 Delta
  - 115 Start
  - 116 Lyft
  - 117 Chas

  - **118** Zapp
  - 119 T-Mo 120 Exxo

  - 121 Time
  - 122 Spoti
  - 123 Chip
  - 124 Amei
  - 125 Barbi

р а

	126 Chevron
lora	127 Axe
r	128 Airbnb
n	129 LinkedIn
on	130 Ralph Lauren
rpillar	131 American Express
al	132 Twitter
le	133 Bank of America
	134 Citi
emon	135 Uber
ng	136 Morgan Stanley
hwest Airlines	137 Comcast
D	138 Audi
ed Airlines	139 BMW
ate	140 Geico
pers	141 Volkswagen
l	142 Coors
a Air Lines	143 Snapchat
bucks	144 Budweiser
	145 Heineken
se Bank	146 Wells Fargo
OS	147 Monsanto
obile	148 Red Bull
nMobil	149 Goldman Sachs
Warner	150 Marlboro
ify	
otle	
rican Airlines	
ie	

### Purpose Motivation Index compared to World Value<sup>®</sup> Index

World Value Index	VS.	Purpose M
1. Goodwill		1. Khan Academ
2. Girl Scouts of America		2. One Campaig
3. Amazon		3. Annie's Home
4. Save The Children		4. Medium
5. Google		5. SolarCity
6. World Wildlife Fund		6. OfferUp
7. YMCA		7. Petfinder
8. Microsoft		8. Method
9. Dove		9. Save The Chi
10. Subway		10. World Wildli

The World Value<sup>®</sup> Index measures people's perception of a brand's purpose while the Purpose Motivation Index measures the intensity of willingness to support it.

#### lotivation

ny gn egrown

ildren ife Fund



# Thank You

If you have any follow up questions or would like for a detailed report on your brand, please contact us at worldvalue@enso.co



